

RE: RM-10620 Additional information

Upon Review of several comments sent to the Commission on this issue there looks to be a general idea of the people the "RM-10620" would take away some of there "status" as a EXTRA class Licensee if over a period of time the commission through "Application" process up-graded the Advanced class to Extra Class.

I noticed on several computer sites that the ARRL sent out a Correction to the original notice had a error in Text of the December posting on the ARRL home site. I wish to thank the ARRL for this "correction", however this correction was a bit late for some of the first people to file there comments. Some real confusion can be viewed in the comments on the ECFS.

Input is need as to what proof should the VEC/VE be required in the application process. Proof of Operating - Logs - QSL Cards Club Records - Statements. This is a good Idea the applicant must provide some "Proof" Please submit your Input and Ideas.

Thanks

Dale E. Reich  
141 North Center St.  
Seville, Ohio 44273